

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brct. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/734,660 12/15/2003 Hajime Nakagawa FS-F03216-01 7673 EXAMINER 37398 7590 03/23/2005 TAIYO CORPORATION CHEA, THORL 2111 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER #412, NORTH ARLINGTON, VA 22202 1752

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005 -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· 初レ	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,660	NAKAGAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thorl Chea	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a t. a reply within the statutory minimum of the priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 December 2003.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b)☐ objected to	· /	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			/
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority documen	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12152003;10252004.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/734,660 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Goto (US Patent No. 6,156,491). Goto discloses a photothermographic material having at least on image forming layer having a light-insensitive silver salt, a light sensitive silver halide, reducing agent for silver salt and binder and a protective layer wherein preferred organic silver salt contains silver behenate of 85 mole % or more and preferably 95 mole % or more and wherein the water-insoluble polymer latex is used in the protective layer. See Example in columns 94-98 especially the protective layer in column 97, lines 45-65; column 89, lines 27-45; column 7, lines 49-58. The non-photosensitve organic silver salt of the claimed invention contains 90 % by mole or more of silver behenate which overlaps the preferred range of the non-photosensitve organic silver salt taught in Goto which is within a range of 85 mole % or more and preferably 95 mole % or more. Accordingly, the

invention as claimed anticipated the material taught in Goto when non-photosensitve organic silver salt of the claimed invention contains 90 % by mole or more. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art use non-photosensitve organic silver salt within the range of 85 mole % to 90 mole % such as suggested by Goto with a reasonable expectation of achieving a highly useful material. The property such as "loose fluidity upon decrease in temperature" in claim 13 is inherent to the coating composition containing polymer latex taught in Goto.

Page 3

- 4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Goto (US Patent No. 6,156,491) as applied to claims 1-2, 13 above, and further in view of Morita (US Patent No. 6,582,898). The gelling agent has been known in Morita in column 27, lines 40-68. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the gelling agent in the material of Ishigaki to lowering the fluidity of the system, and thereby provide a material as claimed.
- Claims 15-20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Goto (US Patent No. 6,156,491) as applied to claims 1-2, 13-20 above, and further in view of Oya et al (US 2001/0051319A1) and Andrews et al (US Patent No. 4,113,854). Oya et at on page 40, [0166] to page 41, [0168] to [0170] discloses water soluble polymers such as dextran, methylcellulose, animal protein such as gelatin, agar, polyvinyl alcohol as thickener for imparting coating property. Adrews et al in column 2, lines 34-48 discloses a known water-soluble polymer as thickening agent for polymer latex such as gum karaya, gum Arabic and gelatin. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the to use the water-soluble polymer

Art Unit: 1752

other than gelatin known in Oya et al and Andrews et al to impart the coating property of the protective layer taught in Ishigaki et al, and thereby provide a material as claimed.

- 6. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ishigaki et al (US Patent No. 6,261,754)
- Ishigaki et al discloses a photothermographic material having protective layer as claimed. See the photothermograpic material exemplified in columns 66-72, especially the lower and protective layer which contain polymer latex, plasticizer, and polyvinyl alcohol wherein the component of the binder is polymer latex in column 69, lines 29-55; the protective layer having fluorine-containing surfactant in column 11; the latex binder for the protective layer in column 8; the hydrophilic polymer for dispersion stabilizers in the image forming layer and protective layer such as polyvinyl alcohol, methylcellulose with an amount of 30 % by weight or less with respect to the entire binder in column 8, lines 50-65; column 9, lines 1-40; the protective layers made of two or more layer in column 7, lines 17-37; and the light-insensitive organic silver salt including silver behenate in column 15, lines 30-35. Ishigaki discloses a photothermographic aterial having an outermost layer and a layer adjacent to the outermost layer containing a polymer latex and polyvinyl alcohol, but fails to state the property thereof such "can loose fluidity upon an decrease in temperature" such as presented in the claimed invention. However, this property is considered as inherent to the coating composition of the protective layer taught in Ishigaki since the protective layer is hardened after coating.
- 7. Claims 6-12, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Goto (US Patent No. 6,156,491) as applied to claims 1-2, 13-20 above, and further in view of Oya et al (US 2001/0051319A1) and Andrews et al (US Patent No. 4,113,854). Oya et al (US

Art Unit: 1752

claimed.

2001/0051319A1) and Andrews et al (US Patent No. 4,113,854). Oya et at on page 40, [0166] to page 41, [0168] to [0170] discloses water soluble polymers such as dextran, methylcellulose, animal protein such as gelatin, agar, polyvinyl alcohol as thickener for imparting coating property. Adrews et al in column 2, lines 34-48 discloses a known water-soluble polymer as thickening agent for polymer latex such as gum karaya, gum Arabic and gelatin. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the water-soluble polymer other than gelatin known in Oya et al and Andrews et al to impart the coating property of the protective layer taught in Ishigaki et al, and thereby provide a material as

### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (571) 272-1328. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H Kelly can be reached on (571)272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,660

Art Unit: 1752

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tchea Ty March 19, 2005 Thorl Chea
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1752